Class and Section: MIS4173-001 Date: 02/27/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Team 2:

Khadeem Dublin, Dominant Elting, Ryan McCrary, Alexis Strickland

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **97** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **98**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your second sprint. Continue to push toward a working system. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (02/27/2022) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **15** | 14 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 20 |
| New Documentation | **20** | 20 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 5 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 97 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Supplement Specifications  (Non-functional Requirements) | **15** | 15 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 14 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 9 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 98 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Thank you for making your document more professional. One thing you can do is not have each new item on a separate page. You only would start a new Section on the top of a new page. But all items for Section 1 can flow item after item with consistent spacing. Ask me if you do not understand.
  + New documentation is a new item from the backlog. So your executive summary for Section 2 would be new documentation.
* See comments about UCD below
* Your non-functional requirements were good
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are good, but there are no user requirements for the system listed so how do you have a PSPI?
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is good
  + Sprint 2 Team Evaluation is good
* The Project plan is good
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* You did a good job on the content you provided
* On the UCD, use the same location on the actors as the starting point for the lines—I would not use the head
* The Context Diagram was very good
* The non-functional requirements are good
* All sprint items were good
* How do you have a PSPI without user requirements for the system listed on your backlogs?
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 3.21/4 = 80.25%. You can see I gave you a 98%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.